se 1:02-cr-00606-FB Document 930 Filed 10/02/08 Page 1 of 55 PageID #: 1830

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

. _ _ _ _ _ _ _ X UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Docket#

02-CR-606

- versus -

U.S. Courthouse Brooklyn, New York

PETER GOTTI, et al., Defendant :

July 10, 2002

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR BAIL APPLICATION BEFORE THE HONORABLE FREDERIC BLOCK FORE THE HONORABLE FREDERIC DUDGE IN CLEEK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE U.S. DISTRICT COURT, E.D.N.Y.

S:★ 0CT 2 2008 ★ P E A R A N C Е

BROOKLYN OFFICE

For the Government:

Alan Vinegrad, Esq. United States Attorney

Andrew Genser, Esq. BY:

Rick Whalen, Esq.

Assistant U.S. Attorney 225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201

For the Defendant:

Dominick Porco, Esq.

Official Transcriber:

Rosalie Lombardi

Transcription Service:

Transcription Plus II

823 Whittier Avenue

New Hyde Park, N.Y. 11040

(516) 358-7352

Typist:

Linda Ferrara

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound-recording, transcript produced by transcription service

2 Proceedings This is Criminal Cause for THE CLERK: 1 a Bail Application, **United States of America** 2 v. Primo Cassarino. 3 Counsel, please state your name for 4 the record. You may have to step forward 5 because we're recording. 6 MR. GENSER: Andrew Genser for the 7 United States. 8 Good afternoon, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 10 MR. WHALEN: Rick Whalen for the 11 government. 12 MR. PORCO: Dominick Porco, for the 13 defendant. 14 Good afternoon, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 And we have pretrial services here, I 17 believe. 18 MS. VASQUEZ: Pretrial Services 19 Officer Lourdes Vasquez. 20 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 21 MS. VASQUEZ: Lourdes Vasquez. 22 THE COURT: Ms. Vasquez, nice to see 2.3 24 you here. Let me just start by telling you what 25

Proceedings

I have in my file that's been presented to me.

I have Mr. Porco's letter of June 28 that runs
ten pages and I have the government's response
of July 9, which runs 34 pages. We don't
necessarily make our determinations based on
numerosity of the pages.

MR. GENSER: Mine is double-spaced, your Honor.

MR. PORCO: Thank God for that.

THE COURT: And we have a number of exhibits attached to Mr. Genser's submission.

I assume, Mr. Porco, you've seen all that.

THE DEFENDANT: I have.

THE COURT: I have the initial pretrial services report which is dated June 4 and Ms. Vasquez, on behalf of pretrial services makes the following recommendation.

Solely in regard to the risks of non-appearance, pretrial services would recommend that this defendant's release be contingent upon a substantial bond and then she goes on to talk about that it should be properly secured.

It concludes by saying that if the government can show through clear and

in regard to the risks of flight.

Proceedings

convincing evidence that the defendant poses a serious risk of danger, other more restrictive conditions, including possibly detention may be necessary. So, the focus by Ms. Vasquez is

And then I have handed to me just literally seconds ago, an addendum by Ms. Vasquez dated July 10 and the addendum expresses its satisfaction with the suretors and concludes by saying based on the above, it is pretrial services recommendation that the above noted individuals appear to be suitable sureties. We reaffirm our recommendation for defendant's release on a substantial secured bond co-signed by responsible gainfully employed sureties.

However, we reassert that if the government can show clear and convincing evidence that the defendant poses a risk -- a serious risk of danger, other more restrictive conditions including detention may be necessary.

So, my sense is that pretrial services is not concerned, based upon the strength of the proposed sureties about a risk of flight.

And I guess that's the government's position,

2 as well.

Am I reading you correctly,

Mr. Genser, since you focus on the risks of

danger to the community.

MR. GENSER: Well, we think that there clearly is a risk of flight which in all likelihood could be addressed if that were the only issue through a very substantial bond and release conditions.

THE COURT: Well, could, would, should but you're focus is on the risk of danger to the community.

MR. GENSER: Absolutely, Judge.
That's the focus.

THE COURT: Yes, I think that the proposed sureties here satisfy the risk of flight scenario and the standard there, if I recall correctly, is just one of -- not of clear and convincing but simply of the preponderance of the evidence.

So, I really don't think there's a question here of risk of flight in light of the proposed sureties. The concern here is on the danger to the community and I'm going to

1 focus on that.

MR. GENSER: And the risk of obstruction, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, that seems to be part and parcel of the danger to the community.

MR. GENSER: Yes.

THE COURT: So, Mr. Porco --

MR. PORCO: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: -- the real problem I have here, which I am sure you anticipate, is we have here hard evidence, unlike many of these bail application scenarios, with your client being on tape visiting a host of threats or intimidating comments and arguably some of them can be construed as a desire to obstruct justice. And in the face of that, how can I really be comfortable that he should be released?

MR. PORCO: Yes, it is the fundamental issue before the Court on this bail application, a feeling that the Court -- a comfort level that the Court needs to reach that it's doing the right thing in releasing this defendant and that it is not exposing

1 anyone to danger.

And it was my hope that through the submissions that I sent to the Court, as well as through some of the government's submissions, as well, that the Court would come to the same conclusion that apparently pretrial services has now twice.

THE COURT: Well, pretrial services
has not come to the conclusion which you
suggest. As I read pretrial services
recommendations, they focus on the risk of
flight and they point out very succinctly that
if the Court were to conclude that there's a
danger to the community, that detention might
be warranted.

Am I reading you correctly?

MR. PORCO: Well, they --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. PORCO: Except that they also indicate that if the Court concludes -- and again, they're doing their job well. They're leaving that determination to the Court. They're not taking a position as to whether or not the defendant is a danger or not.

THE COURT: Well, let me interrupt.

Pretrial services is here. I want to give

Ms. Vasquez her money's worth. She can speak

for herself.

MR. PORCO: Yes.

THE COURT: Would you like to add anything?

MS. VASQUEZ: No, your Honor, I think my addendum addresses the issues.

MR. PORCO: But there is a line, your Honor -- there is a line in the concluding paragraph that I think is -- ought to be the focus here, which was not in the Court below.

And that is whether or not beyond mere execution of a substantially secured bond, whether there are more restrictive conditions, including detention; detention being one of the options.

It seems to me, your Honor, that thus far, the only option that has been considered here, the only option that has been discussed, has been detention.

There are other factors here and the biggest difficulty that I've had with the case thus far is that no one has suggested

anything, has pointed to any concrete fact beyond the four corners of the indictment.

I would like to invite the Court's attention to page 29 of the government's submission to you.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. PORCO: In the middle of the page under paragraph 3, which by the way, paragraph 3 is -- addresses the issue I just identified, are there any conditions that can assure the safety of the community. They say no, there are not.

And in the middle of that paragraph, they state here Cassarino's own conduct has eliminated any reason to believe he will abide by the bail conditions.

Now I stop there and I say okay, something's coming. They're going to tell me about all these things that he has done outside the four corners of the indictment because if you only look at the allegations of the indictment -- and this case is like any other case, like any other defendant charged with a serious crime who has been released, is there something in this man's background that

Proceedings

brings to the Court's attention, that raises the Court's concern and says, you know what, no matter what I do with him, no matter where I put him, unless it's the MDC, this man is not likely to follow the directions of the Court. And they don't do that, your Honor.

what they do is they then follow with the allegations of the indictment. And I grant, your Honor, that this is a serious case and I grant, your Honor, that this is a case that involves allegations of violence. And I will grant even further that I've heard some of those conversations and they are troubling.

There are exaggerations, to be sure.

The government insists that there's a reference to -- by Mr. Cassarino to grabbing someone's mother and that being a threat to kidnap. It is not. It is a colloquial expression to go grab somebody to contact. If I say I'm going to get a hold of someone, I don't literally mean grab them by the lapels. I'm going to contact them. It's an expression I've heard -- in 25 years of being in this profession that I have heard.

THE COURT: Let me stop you at this

point. First of all, the record should be clear that my determination will be based upon the standard of clear and convincing evidence which applies to the issue of dangerousness and that I will consider and comment as we go along, the standards which are delineated in second circuit law; namely the nature and circumstances of the crime charged, the weight of the evidence against the defendant, the history and characteristics of the defendant, including family ties, employment, community ties, past conduct, and the nature and seriousness of the danger to the community or to an individual.

The nature and circumstances of the crime charged --

MR. PORCO: It doesn't help me.

THE COURT: -- you acknowledge are --

MR. PORCO: It does not help me.

THE COURT: -- very, very serious.

MR. PORCO: Yes.

THE COURT: So that certainly weighs

aqainst you.

MR. PORCO: Absolutely.

THE COURT: Let's talk about the

Transcription Plus II

Rosalie Lombardi

weight of the evidence against the defendant.

In many of these bail cases, I'm presented with proffers by the government.

Many times they're based upon confidential sources. They present particular difficulties because I have to satisfy myself that these confidential sources are reliable and that the government is not being led by the nose, so-to-speak, by people who, you know, perhaps should not be believable.

And there are procedures and processes and techniques which we employ to give us the requisite comfort level that that proffer is something that's reliable and that I can consider in the equation.

Here, however, I have absolute evidence of direct activity on the part of the defendant that is beyond what you just say about the so-called colloquial expressions about the mother.

Let me point out some of these as I went through the government's submissions. I assume that these references to the tapes are accurate. If not, you'll let me know.

MR. PORCO: Yes.

Rosalie Lombardi

Proceedings

THE COURT: But Mr. Cassarino is heard saying, for example, to Bondi, that "I want it" and I'll use the word, "fucking on record that I gave him a beating that I hit him. I want that. You know what I am saying."

And Bondi says, "Right."

Then there's a conversation where Cassarino is talking to Tommy and he says, "Bust his fucking head. Don't worry about it."

And when Tommy asks whether he could do that, Cassarino says, "Bust his fucking head. Don't worry about it. Crack his head. If you got to fucking beef, crack his fucking head."

And Tommy says, "No problem."

Primo says, "Don't worry about it."

Later on, there's another tape where

Mr. Cassarino is talking to Eddie and in the

course of that conversation he says things

such as "Listen to me, listen to me, when I

tell you to fucking do something, you do it.

I don't care if you like it or you don't

And he goes on and later on he says,

fucking like it."

Proceedings

"If you don't like it, let me know now. I'll come there and throw you through the fucking window."

I also took note of his conversation with Phil, where he says, "Listen, I am going to grab your mother today." That's what you're talking about. "Don't worry about it. I'm going to grab her one way or another. Do you hear what I'm telling you? I'm going to get the fucking money today, too."

So, getting the money today suggests a little bit of possible coercion.

MR. PORCO: Coercion, your Honor, to the extent that he's -- I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt.

THE COURT: But Mr. Porco --

MR. PORCO: I didn't mean to interrupt you.

THE COURT: I'm trying to present you -- I certainly respect the fact that you're doing an outstanding job on behalf of your client, which is your professional responsibility.

Of course, my role is a little different than yours but I am just trying to

give you a combination of portions of the tapes that I have highlighted that I think really does make a very compelling case for the notion that the weight of the evidence is strongly, almost interloculantly, you know, compelling against the defendant and it seems that he's going to have a hard time escaping conviction.

You have, in other words, in sum, hard core evidence of his guilt in this case. And that seems to dominate over everything else that you argue.

Let's go on with the other factors; the history and characteristics of the defendant, including family ties, employment, community ties, past conduct.

well, I don't see a lot about community ties that's been presented to me. His past conduct, he does have some, arguably minor, criminal convictions. You've referenced that they are misdemeanors. I take note of that. The government would put a more onerous spin on that but he's not lily white clean.

And he has family ties; that appears

2 42 5

Proceedings

to be the case and as is often the case with people who live a dichotomous lifestyle, they're very supportive and they're good neighbors but they still engage in criminal conduct.

But I do credit that Mr. Cassarino apparently has hard felt family commitments here. And, of course, the circumstances of his daughter certainly reinforces that. I recognize that.

His characteristics, well, I don't know as much about him now as I possibly will get to know later on but it doesn't seem as if he has significant employment. There's some employment that apparently he can point to but I don't see where his characteristics one way or the other should influence my decision, I suspect.

And then last, the nature and seriousness of the danger to the community or to an individual. Look, hopefully, it's more difficult for somebody to threaten someone from jail than it is from their home.

In the world of reality, I guess, there are ways in which threats can be visited

Proceedings

by somebody in jail or you can create a mini jail scenario in somebody's home. But the second circuit cautions against creating a home environment that's the equivalent of detention.

And I think that we ought to go with the assumption that it would be more difficult to visit threats on somebody in jail than if somebody was not in jail.

so, that's my take. Now I want to give you an opportunity to say what you wish now that you know my sensibilities and my predilections. And I'll give Mr. Genser an opportunity to speak briefly but I just tell you right now that I think you're up against it.

MR. PORCO: I appreciate the Court giving me a bird eye's view, as it were, of what it's thinking as I am speaking because that is the most helpful that the Court could be in having me say things that are relevant, as opposed to going off on a tangent that don't mean anything to you.

THE COURT: I think it's better that way than I just I listen for a half hour and

then you don't know what really is --

MR. PORCO: Exactly.

THE COURT: -- effecting me.

MR. PORCO: If we were -- if I were standing before your Honor at the time of sentencing, then some of these conversations that are sort of shocking would be troublesome from -- just from their own nature.

But the focus here, your Honor, it seems to me, is whether or not there is a likelihood -- that's what the government has to show, that there is a likelihood that if you release him, he's going to continue to commit crimes.

I can stand before you --

THE COURT: I'm not so sure that that's really the most apt expression of the legal standard. It's clear and convincing evidence that the defendant presents a danger to the community. Yes, you can say that it oculi translate into a likelihood that he is going to commit a crime but that's not quite the correct articulation of the standard.

MR. PORCO: But that is the concern in the bail -- in the detention equation, as it

Proceedings

were. The concern -- you are going to detain him if you feel that unless you detain him, he poses a danger to the community.

And what does that mean, a danger to the community? Right, there's a danger because he has la cosa nostra label on him? No, it means that you're concerned that he's going to go out and whether he's within the confines of his home or wherever he is, that he's going to continue in his illegal conduct. That's what --

THE COURT: Well, no, it's just much more basic than that. At home, you know, I'm not going to be there, you're not going to be there, we're not going to have 24 hour a day monitors to see who is going to come into the premises and who is going to come and go, or what family members may be coming and going into the house or friends. There's just much more of an opportunity for him to do what he's done, apparently, in the past.

MR. PORCO: Right.

THE COURT: And that's to use people as a vehicle for threatening other people and to put himself in the best posture to avoid

conviction by threats.

MR. PORCO: That's your Honor's concern. That is the --

THE COURT: Well, yes.

MR. PORCO: -- the ultimate concern here that outside the confines of detention, he's in a better position to continue those crimes.

what I am suggesting to the Court -what I have attempted to suggest to the Court
is this, first of all, I have raised the issue
of his daughter, not because we're seeking to
evoke sympathy of the Court -- oh, he's -please give him bail, Judge, because he has a
daughter who is about to undergo surgery. No,
that's the spin that the government puts on
what I say.

But the fact of his daughter's surgery and it isn't cosmetic, it's not a tummy tuck and it's not a face lift, it is the almost complete reconstruction of a prepubescent child's face and how that effects her overall well being.

THE COURT: Do you think that maybe Mr. Cassarino should have thought about his

Proceedings

daughter before he engaged in these apparent criminal acts as reflected in these tapes?

MR. PORCO: Well, of course he should have. Of course he should have. He didn't. To the extent that the government's tapes accurately show what he said, what his words were, because that's what -- really what we're talking about here are the words, by the way, there has -- that I know of, there has not been an allegation made here that anyone at any time was actually physically hurt by this man.

THE COURT: I'm not so sure that the government needs to prove that in order to obtain a conviction.

MR. PORCO: I'm not suggesting that they should.

THE COURT: All right. But, you know, basically, I just don't -- look, I'm not trying this case. The jury's going to try this case when it goes to trial but I tell you right now, I can't see how he's going to avoid a conviction here just based upon these tapes alone.

Be that as it may, with all due

Proceedings

respect, I am going to deny the application.

I don't think I have to repeat the findings
that I have made. I think it's clear from the record. I've gone through of all these factors.

But I just wanted to, as a courtesy to you, explain to you my rationale and thinking so that you know that I've given this very serious consideration.

I do sympathize with the fact that Mr. Cassarino's daughter has these problems but I can't let that override all of these other factors.

MR. PORCO: Your Honor, if I -- you have ruled and that's that but I just wanted to, at least for the benefit of the defendant's family --

THE COURT: By all means.

MR. PORCO: -- I would like to put the factor of the circumstance of his daughter's surgery in the proper context.

The reason why it's important for Mr. Cassarino to be with his daughter -- it is not for Mr. Cassarino's benefit, it is for the daughter's

23 Proceedings well being. 1 I can say this to, your Honor, that 2 Mr. Cassarino said to me that he wants to be 3 out, so that he could be with his daughter. 4 But for that, he can stay where he is. 5 THE COURT: Well, but his --6 MR. PORCO: So, it's for his 7 daughter's sake. 8 I know. THE COURT: 9 MR. PORCO: Not for him. I even 10 offered to the government, I said, we will put 11 together a bail package and we will have it 12 for a limited duration on a given date, long 13 before trial, long before this certain --14 THE COURT: When does she --15 MR. PORCO: -- we'll come back. 16 THE COURT: She's going in for surgery 17 when? Next week? 18 MR. PORCO: Monday. 19 THE COURT: Let me ask Mr. Genser 20 this, as a -- sort of a humanitarian gesture, 21 is there a way in which we can have 22 Mr. Cassarino be there for a day or two during 2.3

the operation and maybe the immediate recovery

phase, then have him returned to jail?

24

mean --

2.2

MR. PORCO: He's willing to do that. He is willing to do that.

THE COURT: Let's think about that because that really would not give him the same opportunity that he would have, if I were to just put him under home detention. And it might be a nice way of helping a young child out, without really running much of a risk or danger or exposure.

I might be inclined to try to find a vehicle for doing that. Maybe we're talking about two days or three days and then he'll have to come back to jail. I just don't see where that's a real problem.

But I -- you know, what do you say about that?

MR. GENSER: Judge, it's something that I thought about that it might be suggested and proposed. You know, it's tough because on its face it sounds -- it has the surface appeal of sort of some type of a reasonable effort to do something for this young girl that we're all concerned about.

But I have to say that the government

would oppose it. It's not a good idea.

There's a record here of dangerousness.

days.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. GENSER: The Court has so found --

THE COURT: Well, I am talking about a very limited period of freedom for a very focused purpose and in that respect, highlighting the concept of family ties with -- and family circumstances, which is a factor that I should consider. And it may well be in this case that I'm not going to allow that factor to keep him out of prison for an extended period of time but it may well be a nice balance to strike to give him a couple of

what are you talking about, two or three days?

MR. PORCO: Well, your Honor's talking about a couple of days. I originally was thinking a little longer but --

THE COURT: Well, look, I --

MR. PORCO: Whatever -- I am in no position to argue that.

MR. GENSER: Well, Judge, this is really the government's concern that once

26 Proceedings your Honor takes -- if your Honor chooses to 1 take that step, it then becomes a slippery 2 slope and we have --3 THE COURT: I'm not going to allow a 4 slippery slope. 5 MR. GENSER: -- the defendant coming 6 back --7 MR. PORCO: Whatever your Honor 8 ultimately says, I would ask give me a couple 9 10 of weeks. THE COURT: She's going in --11 MR. PORCO: You say I am only going to 12 give you a couple of days. Then a couple of 13 days, it is. There will be no applications 14 for extensions, except if, God forbid, there 15 were to be some complication with the child, 16 which no one foresees, okay? 17 THE COURT: You have all these 18 sureties in place today. 19 MR. PORCO: I have all sureties in 20 place. 21 THE COURT: You've confirmed that. 22 The sureties are all in place? 23 MS. VASQUEZ: I'm sorry, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: The sureties are all in 25

27 Proceedings MS. VASQUEZ: Yes, I believe there's one that maybe has to come back. THE COURT: So, I don't want to have

to, you know, micro manage all of this but if those sureties are in place, what I will do is I will let him out for one week starting -well, he'll be at the surgery, I guess on Monday.

> MR. PORCO: Yes.

place today?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: He'll be there, I guess, during the recovery phase.

> MR. PORCO: Yes.

And then the following THE COURT: week, he'll have to return to jail.

MR. PORCO: He'll surrender.

THE COURT: The sureties will be in place, so that they will know for sure that if he doesn't abide by the one week terms and conditions that I am going to impose, that they are at risk to lose their assets.

And I do think, Mr. Genser, that --I'm not terribly concerned about his one week out of jail under these circumstances and we try to strike a balance.

MR. PORCO: Thank you, Judge.

MR. GENSER: Judge, I understand your Honor's trying to strike a balance and I respect that but it's my job to just put on the record --

THE COURT: Yes.

2.2

MR. GENSER: -- the government's position on it. Our position is it's a bad idea. It doesn't -- it follows once the Court has found that he's a danger and that these conditions can't assure the safety of the community, that he has to be in jail notwithstanding this situation, which we all agree is sad.

I think your Honor hit the nail on the head. He should have thought about this before.

THE COURT: I agree with all of that but, you know, once again, I think I've expressed my sentiments on it.

Look, you have all these sureties, for one. It's \$1 million just to cover one week. He'll be under home detention. His phone will be monitored and you'll have the opportunity to check on him whenever you chose to do so

during the course of the week. We'll consent to any reasonable search conditions.

MR. GENSER: Judge, since it's only for a week, the government would suggest or propose that your Honor include as a condition a 24 hour guard at the defendant's expense to watch him.

THE COURT: Who would you propose?

MR. GENSER: Oh, there are security --

THE COURT: I'm not available next

week. I'm going on vacation.

2.3

MR. GENSER: Neither am I but there are security services that can be retained for this type of situation and I think that --

THE COURT: And to monitor who comes and goes?

MR. GENSER: -- in light of the findings of dangerousness, it's a precaution that would be wise here. And to accompany Mr. Cassarino, if he's going to -- presumably, the whole point of this is so that he can go the hospital to be with his daughter.

THE COURT: It's not a bad idea. You know, I'm not a maven on bail matters because, you know, the magistrate judges, quite

frankly, are faced with many more combination of circumstances than I am in the course of my business. So, I just try to think these things through in a reasonable way.

What do you think about that?

MR. PORCO: It hits me by surprise. I hadn't considered that but as I said earlier,

Judge, we're in no position to argue with almost any condition that the Court would seek to impose.

THE COURT: Then why don't we --

MR. PORCO: I --

THE COURT: Can you get somebody for a week? I mean --

MR. GENSER: Absolutely, that can be done, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, why don't we do that? The defendant will pay and then I don't -- and then we'll have on top of that, do you need any other protection here, if you have the security guard? He obviously is not to associate with known criminals. The only people who will be allowed to come into his home would be the family who lives there.

And the only reason why he would be

31 Proceedings able to leave the home will be to go to visit 1 his daughter in the hospital and that's it. 2 MR. GENSER: Judge, for the record, I 3 do have to say that even those conditions, the 4 government feels are inadequate but we 5 appreciate your Honor's imposing them. 6 THE COURT: We'll do that then. 7 And are the suretors here? 8 MR. PORCO: They're here. 9 THE COURT: Is there anything else you 10 wish to say? I mean --11 MR. PORCO: I was going to ask the 12 Court -- well, I thought better of asking 13 your Honor. I'll ask your staff, the 14 mechanics of doing this. If we sign the bond, 15 would the defendant be entitled to released or 16 now that the government imposed that other 17 condition, we would have to --18 THE COURT: He's not going to be 19 entitled to release --20 MR. PORCO: Until --21 THE COURT: -- until he's needed at 22

MR. PORCO: All right. That was the only question I had.

Transcription Plus II

the hospital.

23

24

25

Rosalie Lombardi

THE COURT: That's Monday morning and he's to return the following Monday.

MR. PORCO: So, Monday is the --

THE CLERK: 15th.

MR. PORCO: -- 15th to 22nd.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. PORCO: So then I have between today and Monday morning to secure the services of --

THE COURT: Of a -- yes, of a proper security guard to be paid for at Mr. Cassarino's expense and that person is to be available to report to Mr. Genser and to the government on a -- as Mr. Genser requires.

MR. PORCO: Very well, Judge.

THE COURT: I know it's, you know -- and there's a lot out here but I am trying to accommodate the daughter here.

MR. PORCO: I know you are.

MR. GENSER: Judge, I just hope the record is clear that the Court will not entertain any further applications for extensions or changes or modifications to this. The government strenuously opposes that type of a thing.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, I don't -
MR. GENSER: I just hope that we're

all on the same page with it.

THE COURT: I don't anticipate anything but who knows what --

MR. PORCO: I made a representation to the Court that we have no intentions of seeking extensions.

THE COURT: It seems like this is a heartfelt representation and certainly, I think I'm clear in terms of what I am doing here and as far as the future is concerned, none of know --

MR. GENSER: Judge, I just also want the Court to make -- make sure the Court's aware of the proffer that we made in the brief about Mr. Cassarino's conduct, which has not been consistent with, you know, a true family man.

THE COURT: Mr. Genser, all of your arguments are well stated and are noted by the Court but I think the record reflects, you know, the balance that I'm trying to strike here.

MR. PORCO: Thank you very much,

34 Proceedings Judge. I appreciate it. 1 THE COURT: All right. And I hope 2 that, quite frankly, even though I can't say 3 that I am a fan of Mr. Cassarino's based upon 4 what I've read, I do hope his daughter is 5 successful with her operation. 6 MR. PORCO: Thank you very much, 7 Judge. 8 THE COURT: And by the way, we need 9 the suretors to come forward. 10 THE CLERK: I'm sorry, your Honor? 11 THE COURT: We need the suretors to 12 come forward. 13 MR. GENSER: Judge? 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 MR. GENSER: As I am standing here, I 16 would also propose an additional condition. 17 THE COURT: You see, a lot of times we 18 think of these after thoughts. 19 MR. GENSER: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Yes. 2.1 That Mr. Cassarino, while MR. GENSER: 22 he's out for this week, that he be forbidden 23 from suing any telephones, given that so many 24 of his threats were executed through the 25

35 Proceedings He shouldn't use the home phone. telephone. 1 Not a problem. MR. PORCO: 2 And he shouldn't use --MR. GENSER: 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. PORCO: Not a problem. 5 THE COURT: Agreed. I think we got it 6 all down. 7 MR. GENSER: -- cell phones. 8 Now, Mr. Innelli, the 9 THE COURT: Court's wonderful clerk is feverishly writing 10 away here. Hopefully, I'm sure he's got it 11 right. 12 But at this time, we want the suretors 13 to step forward and identify themselves and 14 tell me what the security is that they have 15 Ms. Vasquez, you double check this offered. 16 as we go along. And then I'll talk to each of 17 you after you identify yourself. 18 The first person? 19 THE CLERK: Please state and spell 20 your name for the record. 21 State your name. MR. PORCO: 22 Angelo Cassarino. 23 MR. CASSARINO: Follow Mr. Innelli's THE COURT: 24 directions. He's going to swear you in and 2 5

Proceedings 36 1 he's going to ask you some questions. 2 MS. VA\$QUEZ: First name? MR. CASSARINO: 3 Angelo. 4 MS. VA\$QUEZ: What's your name? Angelo Cassarino. 5 MR. CASSARINO: ANGELO CASSARINO, 6 called as a suretor, having been first duly 7 sworn, was examined and testified as 8 follows: 9 10 THE COURT: Tell us, Ms. Vasquez, what is the security that this gentleman has 11 12 offered? MS. VASQUEZ: I believe it's a \$50,000 13 -- is that a CD account; right? I have copies 14 15 It's okay. I have copies of it. With Northfield Savings Bank? 16 That's account. MR. CASSARINO: 17 THE COURT: That's -- you've confirmed 18 that, there's a \$50,000 CD that he's put up; 19 20 right? 21 MR. CASSARINO: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. 22 Just stay here for a few Next person? 23 moments. Step aside but stay here. 24 25 Go ahead.

Proceedings 37 1 DOREEN CASSARINO, called as a suretor, having been first duly 2 sworn, was examined and testified as 3 4 follows: THE CLERK: Please state and spell 5 your name for the record. 6 MS. CASSARINO: Doreen Cassarino. 7 THE COURT: Ms. Cassarino, what 8 security have you put up. 9 10 MS. CASSARINO: Salary. THE COURT: I'm sorry? 11 MS. CASSARINO: My salary. 12 THE COURT: Your salary. 13 MS. CASSARINO: Yes. 14 15 THE COURT: And anything else? MS. CASSARINO: 16 No. THE COURT: And tell me what that 17 18 salary is? MS. CASSARINO: \$25,000. 19 THE COURT: And you work for whom? 20 MS. CASSARINO: Excuse me? 21 THE COURT: Who do you work for? 22 MS. CASSARINO: The Jewish Board of 23 24 Family Children Services. THE COURT: The Jewish Board, it can't 25

Proceedings 38 be so bad then. 1 Is that true, Ms. Vasquez? You're 2 satisfied with that? 3 MS. VASQUEZ: That's correct. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Stay here. And let me speak to the next person. 6 THE CLERK: I ask if you could just 7 state your name for the record. 8 MR. CASTELLANO: Louis Castellano. 9 LOUIS CASTELLANO, 10 called as a suretor, having been first duly 11 sworn, was examined and testified as 12 follows: 13 THE COURT: Mr. Castellano, tell me 14 the security that you are willing to put up 15 here? 16 MR. CASTELLANO: I can't hear too 17 18 good. THE COURT: All right. Do you want me 19 to yell -- I don't like to yell at people but 20 if you want me to, what security have you put 21 22 up? MR. CASTELLANO: A house. 23 THE COURT: And tell me a little bit 24 about that. What is the equity in that house? 25

	Proceedings 39
1	How much is it worth?
2	MR. PORCO: Do you have a mortgage?
3	MR. CASTELLANO: Yes.
4	MR. PORCO: How much of a mortgage?
5	MR. CASTELLANO: About \$160,000.
6	MR. PORCO: How much do you think the
7	house is worth?
8	MR. CASTELLANO: About \$900,000.
9	MR. PORCO: We believe we have equity
10	of about \$800,000.
11	THE COURT: All right. And
12	Ms. Vasquez, are you satisfied with that from
13	your investigations?
14	MS. VASQUEZ: We have a copy of the
15	deed but we do not have an appraisal.
16	THE COURT: Where is the house?
17	MS. VASQUEZ: It's in Carroll Gardens
18	in Brooklyn.
19	THE COURT: Well, we know that Carroll
2 0	Gardens in Brooklyn
21	MR. PORCO: It's a three family,
22	your Honor.
23	THE COURT: It's a three family house?
2 4	MR. PORCO: Yes.
2 5	THE COURT: It sounds like it's worth

Transcription Plus II

Rosalie Lombardi

Proceedings 40 certainly much more than the mortgage. 1 2 MR. PORCO: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you very much. 3 stay here. Let me speak to the next person. 4 CAMILLA RIVIECCIO,, 5 called as a suretor, having been first duly 6 sworn, was examined and testified as 7 follows: 8 9 THE CLERK: Can I ask you to please state your name for the record. 10 MS. RIVIECCIO: Camilla Rivieccio. 11 THE COURT: Tell me your security that 12 13 you are willing to put up? MS. RIVIECCIO: A \$25,000 savings 14 15 account. THE COURT: A savings account. 16 MS. RIVIECCIO: Yes. 17 THE COURT: And? 18 MS. RIVIECCIO: And also, a retirement 19 plan. 20 THE COURT: There's plenty of 21 security. 22 Ms. Vasquez, do you acknowledge that 23 you're satisfied with that? 24 MS. VASQUEZ: Yes. 25

Proceedings 41 1 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 2 And the next? THE CLERK: Please state your name for 3 4 the record. Could you spell it, too. LISARIVIECCIO, 5 called as a suretor, having been first duly 6 sworn, was examined and testified as 7 follows: 8 MS. L. RIVIECCIO: Lisa Rivieccio. 9 10 It's R-i-v-i-e-c-c-i-o. THE COURT: And tell me the security 11 that you're willing to forgo, should 12 Mr. Cassarino not comply with his conditions 13 14 of release. MS. L. RIVIECCIO: My salary. 15 THE COURT: Your salary and how much 16 is that? 17 MS. L. RIVIECCIO: It's around 18 \$42,000. 19 THE COURT: And who is your employer? 20 MS. L. RIVIECCIO: Bernum, Wise & 21 Tobacco (phonetic). 22 THE COURT: All right. And you've 23 confirmed that, Ms. Vasquez, as well? 24 MS. VASQUEZ: 25 Yes.

Proceedings 42 1 THE COURT: All right. We have one 2 last person. Just wait here. ANTOINETTE CASSARINO, 3 called as a suretor, having been first duly 4 5 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 7 THE CLERK: Please state your name. MS. CASSARINO: Antoinette Cassarino. 8 9 THE CLERK: Okay. 10 All right. And what are THE COURT: you willing to forgo if Mr. Cassarino doesn't 11 comply with his terms of release. 12 MS. CASSARINO: My house. 13 THE COURT: Your house? 14 15 MS. CASSARINO: My house. Tell me a little bit about THE COURT: 16 that; the mortgage and what you think the 17 18 equity is. MS. CASSARINO: It's -- last year it 19 was appraised at \$425,000. We owe, I think, 20 21 \$308. THE COURT: So, about \$100,000 equity. 22 Does that square with your information, 23 24 Ms. Vasquez? MS. VASQUEZ: Yes, it does. 25

THE COURT: All right.

Now, folks, let me just tell you -all of you collectively, this. You probably
realize what I am about to say but if
Mr. Cassarino does not comply with these
conditions of release, even though it's only
one week, that you each will be jointly and
severally liable for the bail that's being
imposed here.

And I guess I should set bail here and I'm setting it at \$1 million.

And Mr. Cassarino, I am sure realizes that if he doesn't comply with the Court's orders that he's going to jeopardize all of the security that you have just articulated before me.

Are you all willing to do this, to be jointly and severally responsible up to \$1 million with the security that you have to secure that bond? Yes? Do I hear everybody say yes?

THE SURETORS: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Just so that you realize what's happening here and the seriousness of this proceeding. I know it's a

Rosalie Lombardi

difficult time for your family and everybody
else, but nonetheless, I made my
determinations and we're going to abide by
that, all of us; okay?

MR. GENSER: Judge, if we could just go over the conditions one last time.

THE COURT: I think we have them. Let's see what Mr. Innelli has written down.

THE CLERK: Okay.

THE COURT: There's no telephone.

MR. GENSER: Well, it's home confinement subject to electronic monitoring.

THE CLERK: With 24 hour guard and to pay for the electronic monitoring and to pay for the guard. His consent to searches, no phones, cell phones or any other kind of phone. He's in home detention. He's only allowed to leave to visit his daughter at the hospital. He's not to associate with any known criminals. Only family members living in the home are allowed to be at the home.

And that's all I have.

THE COURT: I think that's sufficient but if there's going to be a 24 hour guard, do we need to have electronic monitoring on top

```
45
                       Proceedings
    of that?
1
             MR. GENSER: Absolutely, your Honor.
2
             THE COURT: Why? There's going to be
3
    a guard outside.
4
             MR. GENSER: Judge, it's a --
5
             THE COURT: Do you mean may fall
6
    asleep
7
             MR. GENSER: The measures are
8
                This has been done in other cases.
    necessary.
9
                         All right.
             THE COURT:
10
             MR. GENSER: The guard --
11
             THE COURT: We'll do it.
12
             MR. GENSER: -- is a supplement to the
13
     electronic monitoring.
14
             THE COURT: We'll let it go then.
15
             MR. GENSER: We would also ask that
16
     there be no contact with anyone that's been
17
     heard on any tapes or that he believes to be a
18
     victim in the case.
19
              THE COURT: We just said he only is to
20
     have --
21
              MR. PORCO: There's no phones.
22
              THE COURT: There's no phones and he's
23
     only --
 24
              MR. PORCO: Well, he might be able to
 25
```

```
46
                       Proceedings
    do it by e-mail.
1
             MR. GENSER: I'm just reading this
2
    note.
3
             THE COURT: Let's not get --
4
             MR. GENSER: One last thing, Judge, if
5
            One last request.
    I can.
6
             THE COURT: Yes, no e-mail.
7
             MR. PORCO: No e-mail.
8
             MR. GENSER: That the government --
9
             MR. PORCO: And no pigeons.
10
             THE COURT: He's not to have contact
11
    by -- let me just say --
12
                         Yes.
             MR. GENSER:
13
             THE COURT: He's not to have contact
14
     with nobody except his immediate family.
15
     assume he's not going to threaten them. And
16
     he's not to visit any threats, of course, even
17
     through his immediate family.
18
              I think we're clear about it.
19
     really don't think we're going to have any
20
     problems here under the circumstances of this
21
     case.
22
                          That's right. You're not.
              MR. PORCO:
23
              THE COURT: Okay?
24
              MR. GENSER: Just one last request
25
```

that the government have a chance to find out who the security guard is going to be, what service is going to provide it and have a chance to give its approval on that. So that we can have some confidence that it's going to be a neutral --

THE COURT: Well --

MR. PORCO: I was going to ask

Mr. Genser to give me the name or names -
MR. GENSER: I will suggest some,

actually.

THE COURT: I have confidence that distinguished counsel will be able to find an appropriate security guard to go with the electronic monitoring and everything else.

Enough is enough.

MR. GENSER: Thank you, Judge.

MR. PORCO: Now if I may just one brief request, would you consider allowing Mr. Cassarino to be released Sunday evening, so that he could be there in the home on Monday morning when his daughter goes to the hospital.

THE COURT: I'll do that.

MR. PORCO: Very good. Thank you very

2.2

2.3

Transcription Plus II

Rosalie Lombardi

```
48
                        Proceedings
    much, sir.
1
             THE COURT: Okay.
2
             Thank you very much for your joint
3
    collective cooperation.
4
             MR. GENSER: Judge, just so I am
5
    clear, what -- when does he have to return to
6
    jail?
7
             THE COURT: Let's make it very
8
    specific. Monday evening, he can leave at
 9
    5 o'clock.
10
             MR. PORCO: Directly to here -- the
11
    marshals, I guess.
12
             THE COURT: I don't know how the
13
    mechanics work out. I mean, you know -- and
14
     he has to back the following Monday, I guess 9
15
     in the morning.
16
             MR. GENSER: I think your Honor meant
17
     to say Sunday evening he can leave.
18
              THE COURT: Sunday evening. What did
19
     I say, Monday?
20
              MR. GENSER: You said Monday.
21
              THE COURT: Sorry, Sunday, 5 o'clock,
22
     return to the -- where is he, at the MDC?
23
              MR. PORCO: MDC.
24
              THE COURT: The Monday on --
25
```

49 Proceedings The 22nd. MR. PORCO: 1 The 22nd. Let's get the THE COURT: 2 dates down specifically. 3 That he be -- that he's THE CLERK: 4 allowed to leave custody as of Sunday, July 14 5 at 5 p.m. and he must return the following 6 week, which would Monday, July 22 by --7 At 9 o'clock. THE COURT: 8 MR. PORCO: 9 a.m. 9 THE CLERK: 9 a.m. 10 MR. PORCO: Very well, 11 That's it. Okay. THE COURT: 12 Thank you very much, MR. PORCO: 13 your Honor. 14 MR. GENSER: Thanks, Judge. 15 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Vasquez. 16 (Pause in proceedings) 17 THE COURT: What's the problem, 18 Mr. Genser? 19 THE CLERK: They want him to sign on 20 the record and you as apprising him of his 21 conditions of his release. 22 Okay. THE COURT: 23 We told the suretors, you know, what 24 the terms are and we asked them specifically 25

whether they agreed to be bound by all of those terms and conditions but the defendant, I guess, should sign in open court.

At this time, Mr. Cassarino is here. He's signing the bond.

Mr. Cassarino, it's \$1 million that you're signing your John Hancock to and you understand that if you don't comply with those terms and conditions of your release, that you'll be personally liable for \$1 million.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: All right. And you also realize that all those good suretors who stood before the Court will also be at risk individually and jointly and severally, as the saying goes, for the loss of their security.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: All right. Are you willing to abide by all these conditions of release?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: Okay. So, sign the bond now in the Court's presence.

MR. PORCO: Your Honor, while the

Proceedings

defendant is doing that, again, this is very new to me in terms of being able to deal with this brief release, I believe that the order states that a condition is only members of the family who actually live in Mr. Cassarino's house but he has his mother and father, one of whom were his sureties, who are the grandchildren -- the grandparents of the child, I don't see any --

THE COURT: I assume

MR. PORCO: -- harm in having family members who want to come and visit the child after surgery, you know, present a problem for anyone.

THE COURT: Well, I assumed that these folks lived in that house.

MR. PORCO: Well, his parent --

Mr. Cassarino's parents do not.

THE COURT: So, his parents can come to visit. That's what you're requesting and his grandparents.

MR. PORCO: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. PORCO: The child --

THE COURT: The parents and

Transcription Plus II

Rosalie Lombardi

grandparents.

You see what happens is that we always think. Tonight you'll be dreaming, you'll think of other things. Mr. Genser will think of other things. He's going to call up tomorrow in a panic.

But I think it's sufficient. We've gone far enough. I just cannot fathom there's going to be any problem here whatsoever.

MR. PORCO: I agree with you, Judge.

 $\label{eq:THECOURT:} \textbf{So grandparents and}$ parents.

MR. PORCO: Right. The defendant has asked me also if your Honor would consider allowing him to return on Friday instead of Monday. He would be willing to come in Friday of next week if you let him -- if he can be released today.

THE COURT: No.

MR. PORCO: He will come back on 21 Friday.

MR. GENSER: Judge, I have to object to all of this.

THE COURT: No, I'll tell you, if I get one more request, I'm going to revoke

1 | everything.

MR. PORCO: No, we don't want to do that.

THE COURT: I've gone as far as I can go period. I mean I spent time, you know, being very particular about this and don't ask me anything else.

MR. PORCO: Thank you.

MR. GENSER: Judge, I just want to put on the record that Mr. Porco has agreed to get the confessions of judgment filed by Friday, which is perfectly reasonable.

THE COURT: Is the confessions of judgment part of the security here?

MS. VASQUEZ: I believe so. There was one gentleman who had the home in Carroll Gardens.

MR. GENSER: If you're going to post property, you have to file a confession of judgment in order for it to actually be posted.

THE COURT: I asked you whether the security was in place. You said yes. I took your word for it.

MS. VASQUEZ: I'm sorry, your Honor.

```
54
                         Proceedings
             THE COURT: I took your word for it.
1
    You've never been known to say anything
2
    incorrect to me. So, I am sure that
3
    everything is in order as you represented it.
4
    Okay?
5
              MR. PORCO: That's it.
6
              THE COURT: All right. That's it.
 7
              MR. PORCO: Thank you very much,
 8
    your Honor.
9
                    (Matter concluded)
10
                         -000-
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Transcription Plus II

Rosalie Lombardi

55

CERTIFICATE

I, ROSALIE LOMBARDI, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the said proceedings is a true and accurate transcript from the electronic sound-recording of the proceedings reduced to typewriting in the above-entitled matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this <u>lst</u> day of <u>October</u>, 2002.

Rocalie Lomboudi

Rosalie Lombardi Transcription Plus II